
The Reality of Tahir Wyatt, Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury, Nadir Ahmad, Their Associates and the Operation Known as Madeenah.Com / FatwaOnline

Part 2: Their Interaction with Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee Following Their Distribution of The Speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah Which Contained Unfounded Criticism, Oppression and Slander

By al-Maktabah al-Salafiyyah. This article was written after consultation with some of the Scholars and their advice to rebut the allegations made and distributed by these individuals.

Understanding the Reality of Tahir Wyatt, Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury, Nadir Ahmad and Their Operation Known as Madeenah.Com / FatwaOnline.Com

Alhamdulillah was Salaatu was Salaamu alaa Rasoolillaah, wa ba'ad:

Exposing Their Use of Shaykh Waseullaah to Attack Maktabah Salafiyyah Followed By Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee's Reprimand and Admonition of Them

As we explained in Part 1, by 2006, the Scholars such as Shaykh Ubayd became fully aware of the games of these individuals and spoke of them, but since they were determined in their agenda, they moved on to other pastures when they saw that Shaykh Waseullaah had some speech against the brothers at Salafi Publications.

Note: Shaykh Waseullaah has taken a position against Salafi Publications due to the fact that the Maktabah has taken a knowledge-based position against the Markaz Jam'iyyah Ahlul-Hadith UK. Numerous scholars and Shaykhs, at the head of them Shaykh Muqbil bin Haadee, Shaykh Rabee' bin Haadee, Shaykh Ubayd al-Jaabiree, Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee, Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee, Shaykh Falaah Ismaa'eel and Shaykh Muhammad al-Anjaree to name a few, have spoken against the deviations of the Jam'iyyah Markaz Ahl al-Hadith UK,¹ and its figureheads such as Suhayb Hasan and 'Abdul-Haadee al-Omaree. The

¹ Madeenah.Com are in complete support and defense of the Markaz Jam'iyyah Ahl al-Hadeeth UK, Green Lane Mosque and its associates and have shown almost unbridled support for them over the years by way of advertising their events around the UK through their mailing list (Fatwa-online), whilst at the same time waging a campaign against Salafi Publications and showing utter disregard and contempt for events organized by the dozen or so Salafi Centres and Masaajid in the UK, even when senior Scholars (such as Shaykh Abdullaah al-Ghudayaan) partook in these events, either in person, or via telelink! **This allegiance, defence, support and loyalty for the Markaz Jam'iyyah was openly displayed when Fatwa-online (the mailing and advertising wing of the Madeenah.com 'students') sent out a 44 page PDF defense of the Jam'iyyah Ahlul-Hadeeth UK on the 14th June 2009.** The email was compiled by Abdur-Raof, and sent out and approved by Muhammad Akhtar (two amongst the founders of Madeenah.com). In this PDF document, the authors justify the cooperation of the Jam'iyyah Ahlul-Hadeeth with the Kuwaiti Hizbee Jam'iyyah Ihyaa at-Turaath al-Islaamee; they justify Suhaib Hasan's participation in the event of Prophet's Birthday celebration (on the basis of political expediency); they justify Abdul-Haadee al-Omaree's praise of the heads of innovations of the Deobandis and Barelwis (as well as praise of Mawdoodee) whilst all the way throughout this document they launch attack after attack against Salafi Publications, as well as repeating the criticism of Shaikh Waseullaah against Salafi Publications and Abu Khadeejah, after they initially withdrew it from their website when they were forced to acknowledge it was baseless speech (which is the subject of this very article)! Now whether or not Madeenah.com were integrally or partially involved in the compilation of this pitiful defense of the Jam'iyyah and its corrupt manhaj is besides the point, but one thing is absolutely certain: **that they agreed and approved of its contents.** Abdur-Raof Muhammad, a representative of Madeenah.com stated in an email sent out by Fatwaonline to over 8,000 recipients: "Attached is the updated version of the clarification written by Jamiat Ahle Hadith UK in response to Salafi Publications... Please forward this on to your mailing list for the benefit of the brothers and sisters." Later in this series when we look further at the claim of Madeenah.com that the reason behind their refutations against Salafi Publications was from the viewpoint of 'refuting the opposer' (ar-Radd 'alal-Mukhaalif), the reader will see straight through this smokescreen and recognize the fact that the agenda of Madeenah.com was to defend and bolster support for the Jam'iyyah and Green Lane Mosque whilst

refutations and positions of these scholars are based upon evidences and proofs and not ambiguities or hearsay. It is for this primary reason that the Shaykh has made repeated statements about the Maktabah and has also (maybe without realizing) fallen into the snare of many people who surround him and fill him with lies because they have their own personal agendas, grievances and deviant methodologies. Nevertheless, this is a difference between the Shaykh and Salafi Publications and Salafi Publications are happy to sit with Shaykh Waseeullaah in the presence of Shaykh Rabee' Shaykh Ubayd, or Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee (or all three!) so that whatever issue Shaykh Waseeullaah has about our stance towards this Jam'iyyah and its manhaj can be discussed openly and frankly.²

On Monday 29th October 2007, Madeenah.Com published an article containing an answer by Shaykh Waseeullaah³ to a question posed about our brother Abu Khadeejah / Salafi Publications

actively seeking an agenda of discrediting Salafi Publications. Their manhaj was and is one of toleration of deviations in the manhaj, cooperation with enemies of the da'wah and its scholars, showing two faces, one to the Scholars and another to the West. In pursuit of this goal, they felt it necessary to annihilate any opposition to their ambitions. There are literally scores of examples from Madeenah.com / Fatwaonline of this type of behavior – Our Shaikh Rabee' al-Madkhalee appropriately described this type of orientation in a statement posted on Sahab.Net on 23rd Muharram 1430 (21st January 2009):

So (you find that) he falls into tamyee' when dealing with the people of innovation and at the same time he shows a destructive form of extremism towards the people of Sunnah and the truth.

² Some of these students associated with Madeenah.Com have made reference to a sitting between Shaykh Waseeullaah and the Maktabah taking place around 2004 in which they claimed the Shaykh refuted and silenced the brothers. In reality, the Shaykh either dismissed all of the evidences and was not prepared to accept any criticism of the Jam'iyyah, or he made excuses for the ones which simply could not be dismissed. We hoped that the same types of excuses could be made for Salafi Publications when all of our claimed and actual mistakes become very little compared to the calamities of this Jam'iyyah and its main figureheads. To prove further the correctness of the stance of the Maktabah before, during and after this sitting, Shaykh Rabee' (hafidhahullaah) was telephoned immediately after the gathering with Shaykh Waseeullaah, a phone call that was approved by Shaikh Waseeullaah himself (though he was not present), in which Shaykh Rabee' absolutely and categorically informed all the brothers present that they should not accept the demands to sit and cooperate with the Jam'iyyah until they make a tawbah as clear as the sun in the sky. This phone call was heard and witnessed by all those present at the meeting, walhamdulillah. A day later or two later, the same comments were made by Shaykh Ubaid and Shaikh al-Anjaree, and their stances have not changed till this day. So Madeenah.com in reality have been and continue to 'flog a dead horse' as it relates to their defense and promotion of the Jam'iyyah! They show two faces, one to the West and another to the Scholars like Shaykh Rabee', Shaykh Ubayd and Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee, and we have never ever seen them distribute and propagate the many refutations of these Scholars against the hizbee callers and organizations in the West, but they are quick to utilize whatever generalized statements they can find from these Scholars to give the illusion that they are with these Scholars and are connected to them. This is how they have been operating through all that time. Ask yourself, when did Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury or Tahir Wyatt ever disseminate the refutations of Shaykh Rabee', Shaykh Ubayd and Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee (and others) against Jam'iyyah Ahl al-Hadeeth UK, Ihyaa at-Turaath al-Islaamee, Abu Usaamah adh-Dhahabi, Suhayb Hasan, al-Maghraawee and al-Ma'ribee and others? Thus, this claim of theirs, that their speech about Maktabah Salafiyyah is from the angle of "refuting the opposer" is in fact spurious to put it mildly. On the contrary, they continued to support the events of these people.

³ It is very strange that these people should pose their question to a Shaykh who is relatively new to the da'wah scene in the West and is not aware of all of the intricacies and the history and who does not have

in which the Shaykh carried a slander against Abu Khadeejah that was narrated to him by those who were surrounding him during his visit to the UK in that month.⁴ Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury sent out this article to many thousands of people via his fatwa-online mailing list on the same day.⁵ The unfounded criticism and slander conveyed in the speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah was quickly responded to and we requested that these allegations be backed up with evidence.⁶ Despite our article of 31st October 2007 rebutting the unjust and oppressive accusations⁷ these people did not fear Allaah, withdraw their article, repent or apologize. Short of two weeks later, Shaykh Ahmad al-Subayee of Kuwait wrote a three page letter addressed to these individuals in which the Shaykh wrote:⁸

...Thus, the criticism directed towards a person from the angle of integrity ('adaalah), religiosity (diyaanah), trustworthiness (amaanah) and behaviour (sulook) requires additional concern, (it requires) precision and the establishment of justice. It is not permissible to apply everybody's speech about him, without restraint, or without daleel (evidence), hujjah (proof) or burhaan (evidence), and nor by depending upon the revilement of individuals by their peers....

And the intent here is that some of the brothers showed me the contents of the criticism⁹ directed at Maktabah Salafiyyah in Britain, and at the noble brother, Abu Khadeejah, (hafidhahullaahu ta'aalaa), which was spread on the website called "Madeenah.Com." And I reflected upon this targeted criticism and I found it to [consist of] mere accusations which are

the same criterion as the Scholars who are far senior in this field and who have a much greater acquaintance and insight into the UK Europe, and the US, such as Shaykh Rabee' and Shaykh Ubayd and others. This in itself shows that these people are just using Shaykh Waseeullaah for their own purposes. This opportunism arises for them because naturally Shaykh Waseeullaah has an affinity for the Jam'iyyah Ahl al-Hadeeth UK and his opposition to Salafi Publications is largely driven by this affinity. While you could excuse the people of hizbiyyah for trying to use Shaykh Waseeullaah in this regard for their own agendas and grievances, this same excuse could not be given for "students of Madeenah" who have spent years and years in the same city in which were present the likes of Shaykh Rabee' (whilst he was there) Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee, Shaykh Ubayd and others all of whom were at the forefront of repelling the Ikhwaani fitnahs that came waves upon waves from the early 90's onwards. They should know full well that these Shaykhs are the most knowledgeable and insightful of the affairs of da'wah in the West because of their continuous and constant engagement with its affairs since the early 90s. How then have these people latched on to Shaykh Waseeullaah? This point only makes the nature of the deception and dishonesty of people like Tahir Wyatt, Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhur and Nadir Ahmad especially all the more grave and serious. It is from bankruptcy that after their machinations failed with the Shaykhs of Madinah, they moved on to others to involve them in their own personal grievances and desires. **Indeed it has now been firmly established and proven now that Nadir Ahmad (Madeenah.Com) has been contacting Abu Fajr Abu Abd al-Fattaah al-Kanadi in Yemen, due to them sharing a common goal and common enmity towards Maktabah Salafiyyah and thus, they make alliances in order to amplify their efforts against us.** See this thread <http://salafitalk.net/st/viewmessages.cfm?Forum=9&Topic=10858> to learn more about Abu Fajr al-Kanadi. What is even more grave is that Abu Fajr unleashed many vile insults against Shaykh Ubayd al-Jaabiree and made tabdee' of the Shaykh and attacked him with foul language. Despite this, Nadir Ahmad has reached out to this individual for Madeenah.Com's political purposes, Allaah ul-Musta'aaan. This is political behaviour you would expect from al-Ikhwan al-Muslimeen, not from people ascribing to Salafiyyah.

⁴ View a scan of the entire article here: <http://www.salafitalk.net/st/uploads/Waseeullaahspeech.png>

⁵ Please refer to the separate section further below where observations are made about this action of Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury.

⁶ Refer to this thread: <http://salafitalk.net/st/viewmessages.cfm?Forum=9&Topic=6292> where the matter was dealt with in detail on 31st October 2007.

⁷ I.e., the same article in previous footnote.

⁸ This letter was emailed to Madeenah.Com administration on 12th November 2007.

⁹ i.e. referring to the answer of Shaykh Waseeullaah.

not based upon any quotations, and [to be the mere] casting of aspersions upon intentions and other than that. And this revilement is opposed to what is established and well known to me and to others besides me over the passing of years regarding this Maktabah and about those brothers – and we do not purify them above and over what Allaah the Mighty and Majestic, knows of them.

I advise those responsible for this website that they fear Allaah, the Mighty and Majestic, in calling to the Sunnah, and that they fear Allaah, the Mighty and Majestic, regarding these brothers of theirs, and that they give preponderance to the maslahah (beneficial interest) of the religion and of calling to the Sunnah above over any other affair... And let not those (people) rejoice with the proceeding of some of those ascribing themselves to knowledge in strengthening and aiding them (in their activity), especially from those from whom not even a single letter of revilement upon baatil (falsehood) has been known over the passing of [many] years. And then all of a sudden he proceeds, with all courage, momentum, and broadness of expression in reviling those from our brothers from whom the Sunnah is apparent. Rather, [reviling] those whose benefit – by the praise of Allaah, the Mighty and Majestic – is established and obvious, no one rejects it except the arrogant person.

The reader should understand here that the primary reason which led these individuals to remove the criticism by Shaykh Waseeullaah a few days later from their website was because Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee took them to task for it and because this refutation contained nothing from evidence and was effectively a complete whitewashing of the Jam'iyyah Ahlul-Hadeeth UK from its calamities, as well as what amounted to a gross slander against Abu Khadeejah. Behind the scenes what took place is that when Shaykh Ahmad's advice to these individuals was sent Nadir Ahmad phoned Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee¹⁰ and from the discussion it was concluded that Nadir Ahmad and those with him (the Madeenah.Com students) were to provide Shaykh Ahmad in no less than 7 days, all the evidences they have for justifying the attack in the article (that was translated by Abdur-Rauf Muhammad¹¹ from Birmingham) against the brothers at Maktabah Salafiyyah. **Nadir Ahmad stated that they would provide the evidence in a few days.**¹² It is here that we come to expose and reveal the great deception of these individuals and the games they play, when one sees what they did next:

Saving Face and Deceiving the Audience

In order to save face, two days later (after Shaykh Ahmad's letter was sent to them and put them into a state of panic) on the 14th November 2007, they removed the contents of the article on their website with a note explaining why Shaykh Waseeullaah's article had been removed. We shall comment on this with footnotes and the note in square brackets (i.e. [1]) is their's in the original article,¹³ the other footnotes are ours:

All praise is due to Allaah alone, Lord of the Worlds, and may the Salaat and Salaam be upon the Noblest of the prophets and messengers.

To proceed:

¹⁰ This call took place between on the 12th or 13th November 2007

¹¹ A contributor and listed translator of Madeenah.Com.

¹² It has now been four years and these students have not honoured their promise to the Shaykh.

¹³ You can see scan of the original here:

<http://www.salafitalk.net/st/uploads/Removalofwaseeullaahspeech.png>

Based upon the advice of some of our noble Mashaayikh in al-Madeenah an-Nabawiyah[1], may Allaah protect and preserve them, we have removed the advice of the noble Shaykh Waseeullaah Abbaas, may Allaah protect and preserve him.¹⁴

The Shaykh's advice was removed for the greater benefit of the Da'wah in general;¹⁵ Indeed, some may now ask why it was removed,¹⁶ to which the mashaayikh¹⁷ suggested the following response be provided:

¹⁴ **Our note:** This is talbees (deception) and khiyaanah (treachery), it is deception of their audience in the West because **the primary and very first reason** for the removal is that Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee backed them into a corner, where they were stumped. They were requested to provide evidences for what was in the criticism of Shaykh Waseeullaah, which conveyed a gross slander, as well as unfounded criticisms with not even a shred of evidence. When they became exposed in this manner, they had to do the inevitable, (since honesty was out of the question), and that was to withdraw Shaykh Waseeullaah's speech in a political way that did not reveal what was really happening behind the scenes. After all how can "students of knowledge" studying in Madinah University in the presence of the scholars not recognize what constitutes knowledge-based criticism and gleefully mass-distribute the unfounded statement of Shaykh Waseeullaah. This is not to say that they did not go to other Mashayikh in Madeenah for advice in this matter, and Allaah knows best how they put the situation to these Shaykhs (whose names they have not mentioned), but make no mistake dear reader, Shaikh Ahmad Subay'ee's letter **was the primary reason** behind the withdrawal of Shaykh Waseeullaah's speech. Instead, as we will see below, they threw the blame elsewhere.

¹⁵ **Our note:** Those who wrote this short but deceptive piece are extremely dishonest. The Shaykh's advice (in reality, it was unfounded criticism which also contained a gross slander against Abu Khadeejah) was put up **because it was of utility for them in their agenda in attacking Salafi Publications**. They did not have any concern for the "*greater benefit of the da'wah*", this is a bold faced lie and they know it full well. Were these "students of Madinah" so naive, ignorant and dumb-witted that they did not anticipate what their distribution of this baseless speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah (to thousands of people in the West) would have on the da'wah? Did they each spend at least six years in Madeenah University, in the presence of the Scholars and not understand what constitutes a knowledge based criticism? By Allaah, they knew full well what they were doing and what would result from it. Rather, it is the very thing they wanted all along. You have to remember that this (in late 2007) is after their initial plots over the previous two years failed. Their history has proven they do not miss an opportunity to attack Salafi Publications whilst they come out pretending to be rectifiers in the name of "refuting the opposer." Meanwhile, those whose opposition is glaringly obvious are not just left alone, but defended, supported and promoted to the same thousands to whom they distribute unfounded criticisms and slanders.

¹⁶ **Our note:** This is a question they anticipated and hence they concealed from their audience in the West what had transpired in reality behind the scenes between them and Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee who had made an enquiry and demand upon them, and Nadir Ahmad knew that they could not fulfill it (not in four years, to this day). So look at this great cunning and deception in the way that they presented this to an unaware audience.

¹⁷ **Our note:** Note this bait and switch and this great deception and cunning being employed. Look at how they deceived the masses by saying "*... to which the Mashaayikh suggested the following response be provided....*" What they are doing here is "perception management", they are managing the way that readers will perceive the whole incident and see it in a light which removes and hides all blame from them. This is trickery and deception. The real issue here is that these people made calculated use of Shaykh Waseeullaah's speech knowing full well what impact it would have (as if they did not realize or anticipate any impact sending it out to 8,000 people!!) , and when they were caught out and put in place by Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee who wrote to them and informed them of what are really the ABC's of Salafiyyah with regard to criticism (which they cannot feign ignorance of as students of Madinah), they then rushed to these as yet unnamed Shaykhs of Madinah as a damage limitation exercise and to rescue themselves from this predicament. Yes, we know it's true that some of the Mashayikh of Madeenah were not at all pleased that this article was placed on their website due to what it contained of unfounded attacks and defense of a Jam'iyyah which is known to them to oppose the da'wah – so maybe those Mashayikh showed them the same objection and stance as Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee – but the point here is that they politically

Unfortunately, some people have taken the opportunity of the Shaykh's advice and turned it into a scandal and understood the speech of the Shaykh in a way other than what was intended by him,¹⁸ and spoke of the reputation of the one advising as well as the one being advised.¹⁹

managed the request of Shaikh Ahmad as-Subay'ee **in a way to absolve all blame from themselves** and which certainly involved deceiving the audience about the real things taking place behind the scenes. Then when they put out this notice, they *did not* say (as they should have done, if they were honest and sincere):

Upon the advice of the noble Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee (and other Shaykhs) we have decided to withdraw the statement of Shaykh Waseeullaah, and from honesty we will post the letter of Shaykh Ahmad here (or post our apology and retraction)... and because we actually do care about the GREATER benefit of the da'wah, we have informed Shaikh Waseeullaah that he had been lied to about our brothers and we ourselves apologize for causing unnecessary harm by posting and distributing things to thousands of people which lack any basis and which amount to outright slander and which had zero benefit for the da'wah, rather which harmed the da'wah. Just as we distributed the original speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah to thousands, then likewise we are posting this retraction, withdrawal and apology to the same thousands.

That would have been a sign of honesty. What they did in reality was the opposite of this, the opposite of honesty and truthfulness. This behaviour of theirs was disrespectful to Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee (who waited four years for the evidences from these students, and has finally given up!). Further, what is due from them all collectively is to apologize (for the slander they conveyed) to the one about whom they conveyed it, and then distribute this apology and retraction with the same vigour and zeal that they sent out the initial speech which contained the unfounded criticism and slander in the first place.

¹⁸ **Our note:** There is nothing in the speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah except an unfounded defence of the Jam'iyyah Markaz Ahl al-Hadeeth UK and an attack upon the honour and flesh of a Salafi brother through the conveyance of a gross slander. We would like to invite Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury, Tahir Wyatt and Nadir Ahmad to go to Shaykh Rabee', Shaykh Ubayd and Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee with the speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah which they published and distributed (and tell these Shaykhs that they distributed this speech to thousands), then show them the letter of Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee to them, then show them their own response and political statement of withdrawal, then convey to the rest of us what the view and opinion of these Shaykhs is (or even as a hypothetical, what it would be) about this action of theirs and about them as individuals for doing this. Indeed, as they found it so urgent and important to seek the counsel of Shaykh Ubayd in 2010 about Shadeed Muhammad (hoping to bring about ease for him and to somehow circumvent the Shaykh from passing judgement upon him at that time), then why not extend the seeking of such counsel about their own actions and activities, if they know the Shaykhs to do nothing but look after the interests of the da'wah at large?

¹⁹ **Our note:** There are a number of points here: **Firstly**, we do not know who they are attributing this speech to, which Shaykhs said this? It is convenient for them to omit this information. This allows them to absolve themselves and put the blame not on themselves who mass distributed this unfounded criticism comprising the conveyance of a gross slander, but upon people who had supposedly spoken of "*the reputation of the one advising and the one being advised.*" **Secondly**, if they were true to this claim of theirs, then what concern have they shown to the "reputation" of the one "being advised", i.e. Abu Khadeejah and Salafi Publications? Answer: They have shown no concern for their reputation or honour, and that is why they continued in their campaign against Salafi Publications. **Thirdly**, you should remember all along what Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee wrote to them, which included the following:

I advise those responsible for this website that they fear Allaah, the Mighty and Majestic, in calling to the Sunnah, and that they fear Allaah, the Mighty and Majestic, regarding these brothers of theirs, and that they give preponderance to the maslahah (beneficial interest) of the religion and of calling to the Sunnah above over any other affair... And let not those (people) rejoice with the proceeding of some of those ascribing themselves to knowledge in strengthening and aiding them (in their activity), especially from those from whom not even

And Allaah's assistance is sought, and He is the Granter of All Success.

[1] This note has been read and approved of by some of the Mashaayikh of al-Madeenah as well as Shaykh Waseeullaah.

It becomes very firmly established that the individuals who wrote this, (and we assume it is Tahir Wyatt, Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury and Nadir Ahmad unless they inform otherwise), that they are deceivers who play games with the Scholars and this is not name-calling for the sake of name-calling. It is a reality that they are mulabbisoon (deceivers), in what they wrote above. Because the real underlying reason which led them to remove the advice was that they were unable to substantiate the speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah in which Shaykh Waseeullaah had carried a slander against Salafi Publications (not to say he is the inventor of the slander, but rather he carried it) and for which Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee took them to task for. To this day, (4 years later) they have not fulfilled their promise of providing their evidences to Shaykh Ahmad to justify their distribution and promotion of Shaykh Waseeullaah's speech. Why? Is it a case of will not or cannot? No doubt it is the latter. The question is: Is this the character of a student of knowledge? Does the student of knowledge or a 'graduate' (since this is their claim to fame) merely relay unsubstantiated refutations and then when asked for proofs, they cannot provide them – what kind of 'graduate' is this? Then to seal the deception, when a Shaykh (i.e. Shaykh Ahmad as-Subay'ee) demands from them to provide evidence, they make promises of days that have become years.

Now to an unsuspecting and unaware audience, the deception and whitewash in what they did over those two to three weeks in later 2007 (October 29th to mid-November) is complete and no one is any wiser as to what was really taking place behind the scenes. In 2010 they translated an article by Shaikh Ahmad as-Subay'ee (trying to apply it in a certain way as part of their agenda) and they quoted him again in another rebuttal against Maktabah Salafiyyah in March 2011 – But, alhamdulillah, Shaikh Ahmad as-Subay'ee was informed of and recognized their trickery and wrote another clarification to address both their first article (their distribution of Shaykh Waseeullah's speech) and also the latter one in which they were quoting him.²⁰ But alas there

a single letter of revilement upon baatil (falsehood) has been known over the passing of [many] years. And then all of a sudden he proceeds, with all courage, momentum, and broadness of expression in reviling those from our brothers from whom the Sunnah is apparent. Rather, [reviling] those whose benefit – by the praise of Allaah, the Mighty and Majestic – is established and obvious, no one rejects it except the arrogant person.

This indicates that they were playing games with Shaykh Ahmad as-Subayee and were not sincere with him at all. So instead of accepting that they had acted unjustly, they turned the tables around and said in essence, "*We are withdrawing the speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah because certain people have misunderstood it and used it to attack the Shaykh...*" Hold on! You were asked to justify and corroborate the Shaykh's claims and the slander he had conveyed in his speech (and which you mass-distributed to around 8,000 people), then you made a promise to do so, and after only two days, you put out this deplorable political statement and lay the blame on others. In reality, the agenda all along was to vilify Salafi Publications using any means possible. Shaykh Ubayd had already exposed these people a year earlier, and this was just another cheap attempt in their deceptive game. It backfired upon them leading them to start playing politics with the Scholars in a manner characteristic of al-Ikhwan al-Muslimeen.

²⁰ Shaykh Ahmad Subay'ee wrote:

All praise be to Allaah and peace and blessings upon the Messenger of Allaah sallaahu alaihi wa salaam and his family. And to proceed, the blessings of Allaah Azza wa Jall, upon me are many and countless. and from the greatest of blessings from Allaah Azza wa Jall upon me is that Allaah has provided us with the Noble Advising Mashaykh, and our true knowledgeable

seems to be no limit to their shamelessness – if they were truly sincere, they would have acted upon the advice of Shaikh Ubaid years ago (in 2006), let alone Shaikh Muhammad bin Haadee's in 2010 and now Shaikh Ahmad's in 2010-2011.

Returning to Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury, Fatwa-Online

Earlier in this article we made a mention that Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury sent out the speech of Shaykh Waseeullaah to his list of around 8,000 on 29th October 2007. This in itself requires some observations. The reader should first note that historically Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury of Fatwaonline had a problem with the usage of the word "Salafi" for the given reason that he does not wish to turn people away from his da'wah efforts represented by his website and mailing list fatwa-online. This would indicate that a significant portion of his mailing list (which at the time was around 8,000 members) does not ascribe to Salafiyyah. If one takes a quick glance at a history of all of his posts over many long years, you find many to be typical of the type of information that Ikhwanis give to their audiences, and some of these posts include requests and encouragement of Muslims to get involved in petitions such as ringing up the BBC in order to vote whether Kilroy-Silk should stay or go and what is similar to this type of activity. Given the above, what exactly does Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury intend by mass-distributing this type of attack against individual Salafis (who have a long and established history and have had a beneficial impact in the da'wah) to such people (a large portion of whom may not even ascribe to Salafiyyah)? This is a question to which Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury should provide an answer for.²¹

brothers and we do not cease to benefit from them and all praise is to Allaah. And from those true knowledgeable brothers are our brothers at Maktaba Salafiyyah in Birmingham like [honorific title removed] Abdul Waahid better known as Abu Khadeejah (Hafidhahullaahu ta'ala) and the brothers there. So these brothers and rather all of Ahl ul 'ilm make mistakes, but the mistakes of Ahlus Sunnah and Ahlul 'ilm differ from the mistakes of Ahlul bida', both fundamentally and generally. Just as the way in dealing with these differences, differs also. So the mistake of the Scholar or student is refuted in masaa'ilul-'ilm or the knowledge based issues, but he is not attacked or warned against or treated severely in the way the people of innovation and desires are treated. And upon this the people of knowledge have proceeded. So that which has come from me in speech, that anyone who attributes himself to 'ilm, could err, and it is legislated that he is refuted. Then there is no proof for some of the students, may Allaah the Mighty and Majestic guide them, to attack and expose and be rude in regards to their brothers (at Maktabah Salafiyyah). And I ask Allaah tabaaraka wa ta'aalaa with his beautiful names and lofty attributes that He unites the hearts upon the truth and unites those ascribing to the Sunnah to be upon one word and Allaah is the granter of success.

Ahmad As-Subaa'yee. 19th of Muharram 1431H [corresponding to the 25th of December 2010]

The original scan of the letter can be seen here:

<http://www.salafitalk.net/st/viewmessages.cfm?Forum=9&Topic=12267>

²¹ Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury has always, from the very outset, claimed that he does not wish to bring his audience (the fatwa-online list) into contact with the finer details of the Salafi manhaj and the refutations of the Scholars against the hizbiyyeen. He wants to simply bring them closer to the Salafi scholars but without making any reference to Salafiyyah and the word Salafi so as to not turn them off because of any pre-misconceptions they may have. This is well known from him and it even was the cause of a rift which occurred between Fatwaonline and the brothers at Troid (whom we considered to be correct in what they were saying), but we patched that up for the greater benefit of the da'wah, and the brothers at Troid accepted our advice to them. But this indicates as we have said earlier that a significant portion of Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury's list does not ascribe to Salafiyyah. **With this in mind, of what benefit did Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury see for the Salafi da'wah, by his mass-distribution of the**

If it grieves the Madeenah.Com group in general²² that Salafi Publications have voiced the criticism of the Scholars against Jam'iyyah Ahl al-Hadeeth and other deviants, and have taken a

slander and unfounded criticism conveyed by Shaykh Waseeullaah against Abu Khadeejah and Salafi Publications to a very large number of people with respect to whom he has maintained a policy of not involving in any of these such matters? In that case, where were his emails distributing the advices of Shaykh Rabee' or Shaykh Ubayd, or Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee or Shaykh Ahmad an-Najmee against many of the hizbiyyeen in the West by name to his list? On the contrary, there are amongst such opposers whose events and conferences he continues to promote right until this very day, so much for "*refuting the opposer*." This proves the intent of Madeenah.Com all along was to vilify and destroy Maktabah Salafiyyah. As for their claim of "correcting errors," then no one is free of errors and we love that our errors are pointed out upon the principles of Ahl al-Sunnah in correcting themselves, between themselves, whilst maintaining brotherhood, unity and the honours of people. **However, it appears that these people took this matter as a mere stepping stone for a wider, sinister agenda which subsequently became clear to the Scholars walhamdulillah.**

²² Note that not all of these people are united in their hearts, they are in fact disunited in many affairs, however what brings each of them together is their hatred, aversion, resentment and personal grievances of a third party, at least this is what is apparent from them and what is related about them from those who were with them. Thus, whilst Nadir Ahmad may clearly acknowledge and know the falsehood of what goes out through Fatwaonline of the promotion of events attended by deviants, he will not openly voice any refutation or opposition against his companion Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury. Likewise Shadeed Muhammad will voice his apparent disassociation from these brothers at one time when his own participation with them was exposed, requiring him to make retraction and clarification, but then join together with them again afterwards, because the underlying hatred, aversion and resentment is still present, and they in turn will happily accommodate him and run around in Madinah to do some public relations for him by visiting some of the Shaykhs in order to orchestrate some space and room and ease and so on for him. So when one of them went to Shaykh Ubayd to discuss the affair of Shadeed Muhammad, they sent out on their mailing list the fact that Shaykh Ubayd had asked the people to refrain from speaking openly against Shadeed Muhammad (in 2010), until the Shaykh has come to a conclusion in the affair. **But then a few months later when Shaikh Ubayd came to his conclusion after seeing all the evidences, he warned openly against Shadeed and his misguidance, we saw absolutely nothing in the mailing list of Fatwaonline!!** So much for the claim of "ar-Radd alal-Mukhaalif!" Using the word "strange" would be an understatement. Shadeed Muhammad openly calls to the usool of the Ikhwaniyyah, propounds destructive principles and makes mistakes relating to aqidah and manhaj, and we don't see any "refuting the opposer" and nor any mass distribution of the refutation of Shaykh Ubayd to thousands of people! So why use Shaykh Ubayd when it suits your fancy ignore him when it opposes your desires?! This is the reality of Tahir Wyatt and Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury. This again proves that these people are **mulabbisoon (deceivers)** and there is no honesty in their actions that one can point to. This racket has been run by them for too long and it is time people saw them for what their actions truly depict about them. Now this type of behaviour (running around on public relations missions) is one that people like Tahir Wyatt would never show for others, as they did with Shadeed Muhammad. This is because he is upon their same manhaj of working with the hizbiyyeen whilst showing scorn for Salafis who value the Salafi manhaj and who adhere to it, call to it and defend it. Then there are others like Abdur-Raof Muhammad who are the most vocal of defenders and supporters of Suhayb Hasan and even Zakir Naik (evidence to follow in a forthcoming article), and we don't personally believe that others amongst them may agree with much of the ghuluww of this individual, but they will not vocalize it or make baraa'ah from his falsehood, and he remains there with them and they with him. The fact is that inside their own souls, some of them know that their other associates are doing that which is wrong and out of bounds in their view, but they won't speak out because of what they see to be a higher, loftier agenda, which is to attack Salafi Publications and to bring them down at all costs so their da'wah can be replaced by a type of da'wah envisaged by these students, which would very quickly demolish and lay to waste pretty much everything in the West that Scholars like Shaykh Rabee' bin Haadee have helped to bring about in terms of clarity in affairs of manhaj and distinguishing the people of truth from the people of falsehood and honouring the Salafi manhaj and its principles and its adherents. This is what we mean when we point out the corruption in walaa and baraa that is found with these people. It is established and proven that Nadir Ahmad is

position against this Jam'iyyah and its peculiar manhaj of da'wah, and they (Madeenah.Com) felt the need to defend the notion of "ar-radd alal-mukhaalif" in their recent articles as they claim, then why has it not grieved them, for example, that Abu Usamah adh-Dhahabee has been caught out red-handed, **lying blatantly**, after being refuted for labelling the Companion Waleed bin Uqbah a "faasiq." He claimed that he did not know Waleed bin Uqbah was a Companion, despite the fact that in the original tape in which he reviled this Companion with this label, he said, "...there was a companion by the name of Waleed bin Uqbah..." before proceeding to call him a faasiq. There was no sincere tawbah from this individual, just playing games and trying to defend himself (being caught-out red-handed at it too).²³ So if these Madeenah students are lifting the banner of "refuting the mukhaalif" as they claim, how come we do not see them coming out with the very same zeal and vigour and determination against Abu Usamah adh-Dhahabee²⁴ for example in a matter that pertains to revilement of a Companion, or Suhayb Hasan in a matter that pertains to kufr in the recent fiasco regarding evolution. In fact, all we see is the greatest of lenience and accommodation, and even where they are forced to take a stance (such as against Suhayb Hasan), it again is with such great lenience and accommodation which is absent when it comes to finding fault with Salafi Publications.

All of these facts indicate the *soo' ul-qasd* (evil intent, design) of these people (indeed, as has preceded Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee called some of them "**liars, transgressors**") and it is very hard to make excuses for them that they were "mistaken" and "intended good" and "rectification." As hard as one tries, the circumstances deny that such excuses can be made for these "students of Madeenah" unless we consider them genuinely grossly ignorant of the realities of the deviant behavioural and practical methodologies which Salafi Scholars have been waging a war against for the past 20 years (i.e. the Ikhwani methodologies and the resulting corruption in walaa and baraa which follows). So are they simply confused and clueless about what the Salafi manhaj *actually* is and what it requires from them in taking positions, and in making walaa and baraa' and in outward actions and so on?²⁵ Or are they just deceivers, liars and transgressors?

contacting Abu Fajr Abu Abd al-Fattaah al-Kanadi in Yemen, despite his knowledge that this *miskeen kadhhaab* (pauper, liar) has vile insults against Shaykh Ubayd al-Jaabiree. So we don't know what understanding of "radd alal-mukhaalif" and "walaa wal-baraa" these "graduates of Madinah" have but it is extremely suspect and dubious to say the least, and inshaa'Allaah when this is the reality of these people, their plot will crumble and turn to nothing in the end because whatever is not sincere, never lasts, that is a rule. You have to remember, they have already been exposed by Shaykh Muhammad bin Haadee, Shaykh Ubayd al-Jaabiree, Shaykh Ahmad Subay'ee and others.

²³ See <http://salafitalk.net/st/viewmessages.cfm?Forum=9&Topic=7061> for the audio recordings of Abu Usamah adh-Dhahabi to see him lie blatantly.

²⁴ Rather what you see is the opposite of this claim of "Ar-Radd alal-Mukhaalif". On Saturday 11th April 2009, Ismail Yusuf (founding member and contributor to Madeenah.com) appears in a conference at Green Lane Mosque alongside Abu Usaamah adh-Dhahabee, "Shaykh" Suhaib Hasan and Ahsan Hanif (committee member of Jam'iyyah Ahl al-Hadith and a member of the Ikhwaani Al-Maghrib Institute) – title of the conference: "The Illuminated Path"! So whilst they illuminate paths for the callers to misguidance and join with them hand-in-hand, they have the nerve to claim that they are justified in attacking Maktabah Salafiyyah under the pretense of "Radd alal-Mukhaalif" (Refuting the opposer)! You try to put out an imaginary blaze in your neighbour's house whilst your own home is burning to ground! More on this in a forthcoming article, inshaa'Allaah.

²⁵ As for the issue of Shaykh Abd al-Muhsin al-Abbaad (hafidhahullaah) and *Rifqan Ahl al-Sunnah*, then we will address that in due course, because we are not unaware of where these people are coming from in reality and where they find their driving force, and unveiling the rest of their deception requires that we analyze their position and their orientation after the fitnahs of al-Ma'ribee, al-Harbee, and recently al-Halabee. The reader should understand that these people, in their orientation, are shaped entirely by the aftermath of the fitnahs of al-Ma'ribee, al-Harbee, (and recently al-Halabi), and whilst they make a token attachment to Shaykh Rabee', they are not really down with refutations of al-Ma'ribee and nor al-Halabee,

Readers should take the incident recounted above (i.e. concerning their propagation of Shaykh Waseeullaah's speech) as an illustration of the lengths these people have been going to in order to play deceitful games with and between the Scholars as part of their hate-filled agenda to mobilize the Scholars against Salafi Publications. The real reason behind this agenda is that people like Tahir Wyatt and his colleagues (Muhammad Akhtar Chaudhury, Abdur-Rauf, Ismail Yusuf and others) are averse to a da'wah which is based upon clarity, because if that type of clarity becomes rooted in the hearts and minds of the Salafis in the West in general, it is a direct threat to the kind of da'wah that Madeenah.com wish for in the West – their da'wah calls for leniency and cooperation with the people of misguidance, a watering down of the demarcation between Ahl al-Haqq and Ahl al-Baatil – that is why one finds them promoting events organized by people of desires; the followers of al-Ma'ribee, al-Halabee, al-Maghraawee, Ihyaa Turaath and Green Lane Mosque and showing nothing but narrowness, sternness, severity, upon the Salafis in the West who value clarity in issues of methodology.

Dear reader, never forget the great truth in the speech of Shaykh Rabee' Ibn Haadee:²⁶

We have been afflicted in these days with those who falsely accuse true Salafis of being extreme and harsh in al-Jarh wat-Ta'deel (praising and criticising) and in other areas. He wages war against them in the most severe fashion whilst he makes peace with the people of innovation and desires, heaping praise and commendations upon them. So (you find that) he falls into tamyee' when dealing with the people of innovation and at the same time he shows a destructive form of extremism towards the people of Sunnah and the truth...

Because, this is exactly what we are dealing with here. In a following part you will see occasions on which these individuals at Madeenah.com have praised, promoted, advertised, defended or shared platforms with the callers to misguidance: Suhaib Hasan, Abu Usaamah adh-Dhahabee,

otherwise why would they support those organizations and individuals in the West who are the staunchest supporters of these two Innovators, such as Luton Masjid al-Ghuraba and others whilst refuting Salafi Publications and disregarding those Islamic Centres whose positions are in complete agreement with the Salafi Scholars such as Shaikh Rabee'? Because they comprise of a group of people who have come together on the basis of an agenda that each one of them would love to see fulfilled, they do not necessarily have the same opinions on other issues. This is why we say that these people are not really united in the proper sense of the word, but only in as much as it allows them to pursue the agenda that is common between them. So although we have made this observation in this paragraph which certainly holds true for the general orientation that this group represents, there may be individuals amongst them, for example, one who may quickly disavow himself from al-Ma'ribee or al-Maghraawee or al-Halabee, despite his knowledge that another one from their group promotes events involving these deviants, yet he remains silent in the face of that. Yet when he is taken to task or probed, he will quickly make disavowals (albeit in private). Again, this is what we mean by corruption in al-walaa wal-baraa in that these individuals do not come together upon what constitutes clarity and truth, but they are clouded and tainted with objectives and agendas as a result of which you will notice these types of strange things from them. But *Rifqan Ahl al-Sunnah* is where they are coming from, except that the *rifq* (softness or leniency) is for the hizbiyyeen, the mumayyi'een, and people of falsehood, and there is little or no *rifq* for Salafi Publications for whom this group have so far refused to make the same types of excuses, lenience, warmth, gentleness and accommodation. It appears that this type of *rifq* is reserved for those who revile the Companions (Abu Usamah adh-Dhahabi) and who excuse an opinion which is clear kufr in the name of ta'weel and ijtihaad (Suhayb Hasan), so whilst the error may be acknowledged, and even pointed out if we are fortunate to see that from them, we won't see the types of calls for boycotting and disassociation and reprimand and stern and harsh tone and language that they have reserved for Salafi Publications, and which they have justified with the banner of "ar-Radd alal-Mukhaalif."

²⁶ This was posted on Sahab.Net on 23rd Muharram 1430 (21st January 2009) and was translated and published on Troid.Org.

Murtaza Khan, Ahsan Hanif, Green Lane Mosque, Ihyaa Turaath al-Islaamee, Masjid al-Ghurabaa (Luton), al-Maghraawee and others.